FAIRFIELD PUBLIC SCHOOLS SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

2013-2015

School Principal/Headmaster Date Team Members Jennings Elementary School Tony Vuolo November 6, 2013 All Jennings Staff

Analysis of Student Data

Celebrations

- Kindergarten Aimsweb: Over the last 2 years in (from 2011-2012 and 2012-2013) the average point growth is very similar, as are the beginning and ending averages.
- Grade 1 Aimsweb: Fall Mathematics (M-COMP) average increased each year from 2010-2013. Spring M-COMP increased each year from 2010-2013
- Grade 2 Aimsweb: From 2010-2012 the M-COMP fall average increased each year.
- In grade K-5 an average of 87% in 2011/12 of students reached benchmark as measured by DRA and FP Benchmark increased to 92% in 2012/13

CMT

- Grade 3 hit target of 85% at goal in reading strands: Forming a General understanding (88%) and Developing Interpretation (95%)
- Grade 4 hit target of 85% at goal in reading strand: Forming a General Understanding (90%)
- Grade 5 hit target of 85% at goal in reading strands: Forming General Understanding (98%) Developing Interpretation (85%) Examining Content and Structure (92%)
- Grade 5 hit target of 85% at goal (85%) in reading
- Grades 3-5 hit target of 85% at goal (91%, 92%, 88%) in mathematics
- Grade 4/5 hit target of 85% at goal (86%, 86%) on math strand : Mathematical Understanding

• Grade 4/5 hit target of 85% at goal (88%, 92%) in writing

Challenges

- Grade 1 Aimsweb: Growth points are similar in oral count, number id, quantity discrimination, and missing number across years. Less growth in oral count from fall to spring in 2012-2013 / higher average in the fall.
- Grade 2 DRA decreased from 85% of students reaching benchmark at MOY and 83% of students reaching benchmark at EOY.

CMT

- Grade 3/4 did not hit target of 85% at goal (72%, 67%) in reading
- Grade 3-5 did not hit target of 85% at goal on math strand : Estimating solutions to Problems (76%, 70%, 78%)
- Grade 3 did not hit target of 85% at goal (72%) in writing

Hypotheses

- Work with Data teams to identify strategies that impact instruction
- School Improvement focus on Differentiation and Small Group Instruction
- Curriculum Revisions
- Standards Based Progress Report

Jennings

DRA2 and F & P Benchmark Mid-Year and EOY Data Percent at or above Goal

					Jennings
					2013
	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	Target
Kindergarten	67% (n/a)	76% / 83%	91% / 83%	86% / 92%	82%
1st Grade	83% / 88%	79% / 95%	78% / 79%	86% / 90%	87%
2nd Grade	80% / 80%	72% / 94%	84% / 93%	85% / 83%	88%
3rd Grade	81% / 85%	77% / 77%	84% / 100%	87% / 98%	90%
4th Grade	87% / 82%	90% / 92%	B 84% / 77%	81% / 100%	95%
5th Grade	94% / 92%	93% / 96%	B 56% / 89%	82% / 91%	95%

	Winter	Spring	2010-	Fall	Spring	2011-	Fall	Spring	2012-	Fall	Target
	Average	Average	2011	Average	Average	2012	Average	Average	2013	Average	
	2010	2011	point	2011	2012	point	2012	2013	point	2013	
			growth			growth			growth		
Oral Counting	61.0	70.0	<mark>9</mark>	52.0	76.0	<mark>24</mark>	51.0	72.0	<mark>21</mark>	51.0	
			<mark>points</mark>			<mark>points</mark>			<mark>points</mark>		
Number	45.0	52.0	<mark>7</mark>	41.0	52.0	<mark>11</mark>	41.0	53.0	<mark>12</mark>	40.0	
Identification			<mark>points</mark>			<mark>points</mark>			<mark>points</mark>		
Quantity	22.0	25.0	<mark>3</mark>	19.0	26.0	<mark>7</mark>	19.0	26.0	<mark>7</mark>	18.0	
Discrimination			<mark>points</mark>			points			<mark>points</mark>		
Missing	13.0	16.0	<mark>3</mark>	9.0	16.0	7	8.0	15.0	7	8.0	
Number			<mark>points</mark>			<mark>points</mark>			<mark>points</mark>		
	•	•						-		•	•

Jennings All	Jennings AIMSweb data- Grade 1										
	Fall Average	Spring Average	2010- 2011	Fall Average	Spring Average	2011- 2012	Fall Average	Spring Average	2012- 2013	Fall Average	Target
	2010	2011	point growth	2011	2012	point growth	2012	2013	point growth	2013	
Oral count	72.0	90.0	<mark>18</mark>	67.0	87.0	20	79.0	92.0	<mark>13</mark>	75.0	
Number Identification	48.0	66.0	<mark>18</mark>	43.0	62.0	<mark>19</mark>	48.0	64.0	<mark>16</mark>	48.0	
Quantity Discrimination	24.0	35.0	11	21.0	34.0	<mark>13</mark>	25.0	35.0	<mark>10</mark>	26.0	
Missing Number	13.0	21.0	8	12.0	20.0	8	14.0	20.0	6	13.0	
Mathematics	<mark>3.0</mark>	<mark>14.0</mark>	11	<mark>14.0</mark>	<mark>32.0</mark>	18	<mark>16.0</mark>	<mark>35.0</mark>	19	<mark>18.0</mark>	

Jennings AIMSweb data- Grade 2											
	Fall Average 2010	Spring Average 2011	2010- 2011 point growth	Fall Average 2011	Spring Average 2012	2011- 2012 point growth	Fall Average 2012	Spring Average 2013	2012- 2013 point growth	Fall Average 2013	Target
M-COMP	13.0	32.0	<mark>19</mark>	18.0	39.0	18	25.0	37.0	12	25.0	
M-CAP	10.0	26.0	<mark>16</mark>	7.0	21.0	<mark>14</mark>	9.0	21.0	<mark>12</mark>	9.0	

Students 1	At or Above C			0570 Of high			
	Fall 2011	Spring 2012	Point Gain	Fall 2012	Spring 2013	Point Gain	Fall 2013
Grade 3	68%	100%	+32% point gain	74%	98%	+24% point gain	81%
Grade 4	50%	87%	+37% point gain	71%	100%	+29% point gain	60%
Grade 5	50%	94%	+44% point gain	60%	98%	+38% point gain	65%

Jennings So	chool							
Blue Ribbon-Integrated Understanding								
Percent Average								
	Fall 2011	Spring	Growth	Fall 2012	Spring	Growth	Spring 2012-	Fall 2013
		2012			2013		Spring 2013	
							Jennings	
							Point Gain	
Grade 3	44%	<mark>67%</mark>	+23%	40%	<mark>71%</mark>	+31%	+4% point gain	28%
			point gain			point gain		
Grade 4	46%	<mark>66%</mark>	+20%	47%	<mark>72%</mark>	+25%	<mark>+6% point gain</mark>	45%
			point gain			point gain		
Grade 5	58%	<mark>77%</mark>	+19%	48%	<mark>88%</mark>	+40%	+10% point gain	50%
			point gain			point gain		

Blue Ribbon Testing for Current Grade 5 Students								
Percentage of Studer	Percentage of Students At or above Goal							
	Fall 2011 (gr 3)	Spring 2012 (gr 3)	Fall 2012 (gr 4)	Spring 2013 (gr 4)	Fall 2013 (gr 5)			
Blue Ribbon	68%	100%	71%	100%	65%			
Testing								

CMT Analysis Data (click on link to document) H:\CMT 2013\2013 CMT

Analysis\Jennings CMT Analysis 2013 all strands.pdf

Student Data and School achievement targets for June 2014

82% of Kindergarten students will reach benchmark by June 2013 as measured by DRA (Data obtained from 3 year trend)

87% of Grade 1 students will reach benchmark by June 2013 as measured by DRA (2013 Baseline is 74%)

88% of Grade 2 students will reach benchmark by June 2013 as measured by DRA (2013 Baseline is 83%

K-2 will continue increase in similar areas on Aimsweb measures documented above

91% of Grades 3-5 students will reach goal by June 2014 as measured by Blue Ribbon Universal Screen (2013 baseline 71%

Problem of Practice

Based on student achievement data and on-going formative assessments, students will engage in differentiated curriculum tasks that are open-ended and rigorous through whole group, small group and individual instruction.

School-wide Focused Strategy

If we plan, implement, and adjust for rigorous curriculum tasks that are open-ended and differentiated, then the percentage of students meeting or exceeding the school achievement targets for June 2014 will increase, as listed on the School Improvement Plan.

Theory of Action Underlying This Plan

If we create a level of professional development support for and within staff to assist teachers in practice and pedagogy around rigor and questioning techniques, then teaching and learning will improve and student achievement will increase.

If we teach, reinforce and value perseverance, students will be better equipped to handle more complex tasks and student achievement will increase.

If we effectively monitor data trends through school and grade level data teams, then we will identify and replicate successful instructional strategies that improve student achievement.

If we foster and sustain a collegial school culture, student academic, social and emotional growth will benefit, then staff will be better equipped to utilize best practices and student achievement will improve.

If we plan effective questions and student tasks consistent with Webb's DOK (Depth of Knowledge) and Bloom's levels 4 (Analyze), 5 (Evaluate), 6 (Create), then student achievement will increase.

If we clearly articulate learning targets to our students and begin using student and teacher rubrics, student achievement will improve.

PRINCIPAL STRATEGIES

FOCUSED STRATEGY (addresses the problem of practice and are limited in number and high leverage):

If we plan, implement, and adjust for rigorous curriculum tasks that are open-ended and differentiated, then the percentage of students meeting or exceeding the school achievement targets for June 2014 will increase, as listed on the School Improvement Plan.

ADULT ACTIONS	ACCOUNTABLILITY MEASURES TO	EVIDENCE OF SUCCESSFUL	SPECIFIC
(Include persons responsible and the	ENSURE IMPLEMENTATION	IMPLEMENTATION	SUPPORT
timeline.	(Include specific actions taken to monitor the adult actions for implementation)	(identify student achievement measures and timeline)	NEEDED
Provide ongoing professional	Monitor effectiveness of teaching	Percentage of staff at proficient and	Marzano text for
development regarding the	practices by observing and	exemplary as defined by the TEP	all staff
Marzano teaching and	providing feedback to staff using		
learning framework	Marzano protocols		Ongoing
			Professional
			Development for
			administrators
	Use of Protraxx to organize and	Data collected in Protraxx	Support with use
	manage feedback for TEP		of Protraxx
Provide ongoing professional	Data teams will meet regularly to	Improvement on rubric for data teams	Professional
development on data teams	review formative and summative		development
with a focus on improving	data		
building team and beginning			Time to organize
work with grade level teams			and plan

Train selected staff to facilitate and organize instructional rounds	Selected staff member will organize and facilitate internal and external instructional rounds	Feedback for staff member facilitating the rounds Data collected from instructional rounds	Professional development for staff
Plan and organize Parent Universities on current educational topics (Infinite Campus, SBAC, Curriculum etc.)	Parent Universities will be planned throughout the year based upon stakeholder feedback	Presentations and feedback from parent sessions Feedback regarding the use of Infinite Campus as a means to communicate	District collaboration regarding PD plans District training and consultation (i.e. IT for infinite Campus)
Support implementation of School Climate initiatives	Facilitate Leadership committee to organize ongoing activities regarding school climate. (CARES, Character assemblies, Bucket filling, Project Wisdom, Community Club etc.)	School Climate Survey data Ongoing data from office referrals and Community Club	Resources: Project Wisdom Second Step Responsive Classroom Materials for Club Survey

SCHOOL-WIDE STRATEGIES

FOCUSED STRATEGY (addresses the problem of practice and are limited in number and high leverage):

If we plan, implement, and adjust for rigorous curriculum tasks that are open-ended and differentiated, then the percentage of students meeting or exceeding the school achievement targets for June 2014 will increase, as listed on the School Improvement Plan.

ADULT ACTIONS	ACCOUNTABLILITY MEASURES TO	EVIDENCE OF SUCCESSFUL	SPECIFIC
(Include persons responsible	ENSURE IMPLEMENTATION	IMPLEMENTATION	SUPPORT
and the timeline.	(Include specific actions taken to	(identify student achievement	NEEDED
	monitor the adult actions for	measures and timeline)	
	implementation)		
The staff will continue to	The staff will conduct Instructional		Time to plan and
refine thinking regarding	Rounds. An Internal and External		organize and
differentiation and rigor	Rounds will be planned each year		debrief rounds
			data
	Data collected from Internal and		
	External Rounds		Resources
			supporting
			learning about
			rigor
The staff will implement and	The staff will review curriculum		Professional
supplement the curriculum	tasks at PLC and curriculum		Development
with an increased number of	meetings.		(Blooms, Webb's
open ended and			DOK)
differentiated tasks that raise			
the rigor in their classrooms			Rubric to assess
			tasks

The staff will learn and refine thinking about Marzano protocols with a focus on Design Questions 2, 3 and 4 which directly addresses learning content	The staff will be directly observed by principal with feedback given in these areas Percentage of staff scoring proficient or exemplary in these areas will increase throughout SIP cycle as measured by TEP	Marzano professional development
A committee of teachers will work with Dr. Richard Cash to learn higher order thinking and teaching strategies	Committee of teachers will implement strategies and share with the rest of the Jennings staff Monthly sharing sessions Evidence of strategies in classrooms Increase in number of rigorous tasks that are open ended and differentiated	Ongoing PD with Richard Cash Time to plan and deliver PD